

Committee Effectiveness Framework

Approved: 26 March 2013 **Updated:** February 2019



1. Introduction

- 1.1 An assessment of the Committee's effectiveness is an essential feature of the Governance Statement that Accounting Officers have been required to produce from 2012/13 onwards. Committee evaluation provides a powerful and valuable feedback mechanism for improving effectiveness, maximising strengths and highlighting areas for further development. Committee self-assessment provides members with an opportunity to:
 - Reflect on their individual and corporate responsibilities
 - Identify different perceptions and opinions among Committee members
 - Increase the level of cohesion and teamwork in the Committee
 - Demonstrate that accountability is a serious organisational value
 - Assess how well the Committee discharges its functions
 - Identify issues that need attention
 - Use the results to improve Committee effectiveness
 - Provide credibility to stakeholders and other external audiences
- 1.2 To assist the Committee with evaluating its effectiveness, a self-assessment questionnaire has been developed, which aims to identify areas of Committee performance that are strongest and those that need improvement and to identify priority areas for the Committee to focus on over the next one to two years.
- 1.3 The questionnaire focuses on the following eight key sections:
 - 1. Committee Composition and Function
 - 2. Committee Meetings and Support
 - 3. Strategic Planning and Performance Monitoring
 - 4. Financial and Project Management
 - 5. Risk, Audit and Governance
 - 6. Pension Scheme Administration
 - 7. Investment of the Fund
 - 8. Communication and Engagement with Key Stakeholders
- 1.3 The primary purpose of the self-evaluation questionnaire is to create an informed starting point for discussion by the Committee about their effectiveness as a collective unit in the key areas identified. Informed discussion and commitment to address priorities results in improved effectiveness.
- 1.4 Committee self-evaluation will be conducted on an annual basis. The results from the questionnaire will be collated and shared with the Committee to facilitate discussion and agree on any necessary actions.
- 1.5 The Governance Team will co-ordinate the process, but the Chairman will have overall responsibility.

2. Completing the Questionnaire

2.1 To facilitate a more effective method of gathering responses and collating and analysing results, Committee members are requested to complete the questionnaire online, where possible. The Governance Team will issue an e-mail to all Committee members with a link to the survey along with the Committee Effectiveness Framework.

- 2.2 The questionnaire should be completed with reference to the List of Indicators for each of the key sections, provided at Appendix A. This sets out examples of good practice of an effective Committee and red flags that provide warning signals that the Committee may not be conducting its business effectively.
- 2.3 Each member must complete the questionnaire, answering all questions by completing one of the following three responses:
 - Agree
 - Disagree
 - Don't Know

Any comments or additional action should be noted in the boxes provided.

- 2.4 The completed questionnaires must be completed by the specified closing date and will be submitted electronically to the Governance Team.
- 2.5 All information and responses provided in the questionnaire will be treated in confidence.

3. Reporting Results

- 3.1 When all questionnaires have been completed, the Governance Team will collate and analyse the results and provide a report and anonymous summary of comments for discussion. The report will focus on three key areas:
 - The strengths of the Committee at least 65% of the Committee must have responded 'Agree' for an area to be considered a strength
 - Priority areas that may need attention from the Committee in order to increase its effectiveness - at least 35% of the Committee must have responded 'Disagree' for an area to be considered a problem
 - The questions that were answered 'Don't Know'- if more than 35% of the Committee respond 'Don't Know' this could highlight a problem and should be considered.
- 3.2 The results report will be included with the meeting papers for discussion at the Committee meeting in April each year. The purpose of the report will be to engage discussion of the whole Committee on the collective results and to reach a consensus on the areas that require improvement. The Committee should agree on three to five key priority areas to focus attention on and agree any action that is to be taken. It is the responsibility of the Chair to ensure that actions are followed through and implemented.
- 3.3 The Committee should review the self-assessment process on an annual basis to determine if it is effective and to suggest areas for improvement. The Committee should also consider an external evaluation of its effectiveness every five years.

1. Committee Composition and Function

	nmittee Composition and Function
Red Flag	Vacant positions for long periods
	A high turnover of Committee membership
	The majority of Committee members are in their first Board position
	• The majority of Committee members are new to the organisation (appointment terms have not been sufficiently
	staggered)
	 None of the members have recent and relevant experience in the following areas: Finance, HR, Private Sector
	There is no formal induction process
	Committee members have not received any formal training and/or development relating to their role on the
	Committee
	There is no member appraisal process in place
	There is evidence of Committee members not behaving in line with the behaviours expected of them and this
	remaining unresolved
Good	The size of the Committee is appropriate for the requirements of business
Practice	The Committee has the appropriate mix of employer and employee representatives and independent members
	The majority of the Committee are experienced board members
	The Chair of the Committee has a demonstrable and recent track record of successfully leading an organisation
	Both and Audit and Staffing sub-committee are established, with their own Terms of Reference
	At least one member of the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee has recent and relevant financial experience
	At least one member of the Staffing Committee has experience in a HR related function, managing staff issues
	All members are properly inducted into their role on a timely basis
	A specific training needs assessment process is in place to identify the training needs of members and training
	opportunities are provided as appropriate
	A Code of Conduct is in place, which clearly describes the behaviours expected of Committee members. These
	behaviours are aligned to the Nolan Principles of Public Life and compliance with the Code is monitored by the Chair
	via an annual member appraisal
Evidence	Biographical information on each Committee member
to	Standing Orders and Delegations of Authority
support	Terms of Reference for sub-committees
good	Induction Process
practice	Committee Handbook
-	Code of Best Practice for Committee Members
	Annual Training, Knowledge and Understanding (TKU) assessment based on TPR and CIPFA Guidance
	Annual Committee training event
	Training records – circulated on a quarterly basis
	Annual Member Appraisal
	Meeting Minutes
	-



2. Committee Meetings and Support

Red Flag	There is a record of Committee and sub-committee meetings not being quorate
	There is regular non-attendance by one or more Committee members at meetings
	Meetings regularly run over the allocated time
	Reports are regularly tabled on the day of the meeting and members do not have the opportunity to review or read
	prior to the meeting
	Discussions are focused on understanding the meeting papers as opposed to making decisions
	Discussions regularly go 'off-topic'
Good	The agenda lists indicative timeslots for items that are mainly adhered to
Practice	The Chair is effective at managing the agenda and focusing the discussion on the key issues
	Committee members have a good attendance record at all Committee and sub-committee meetings and Committee
	events
	Committee papers are circulated at least a week in advance of meetings
	Meeting papers include a report from the Chief Executive, which provides an update on any ongoing issues and details
	any changes in the internal and external environment
	 Each meeting paper clearly states what the Committee is being asked to do (noting, approval, discussion)
	 Declarations of Interests are a standing agenda item at each meeting
	 Action points are recorded and followed up at subsequent meetings
	 There is evidence of an effective working relationship between the Committee members and Officers
Evidence	
	Meeting Agendas Meeting Barrays
to .	Meeting Papers
support	Secretary's Report
good	Meeting Minutes
practice	Meeting attendance records
	Register of Members' Interests



3. Strategic Planning and Performance Monitoring

Red Flag	 There is no evidence of a strategic planning process The aims and objectives of the organisation are not well known or understood The Strategic Plan is not considered to be a good quality document The Committee does not regularly consider macro and strategic risks to the achievement of the organisation's aims and objectives The Committee does not formally review progress towards delivering the strategy Where a review does take place, it is not regular or given proper consideration Performance standards are not being achieved and this is not discussed at Committee level
	 No evidence of comparative analysis against similar organisations
Good Practice	 A Strategic Planning workshop is held at least every three years A Corporate Plan clearly sets out the aims and objectives of the Committee Operational plans are developed that set out actions to achieve the stated aims and objectives The Corporate Plan is reviewed and updated on at least an annual basis or more regularly if the remit of the organisation or the external environment changes Progress against the Corporate Plan and Operational Plans is reviewed on at least a quarterly basis by the Committee – this should not be a tick-boxing exercise but should warrant proper consideration and discussion Management provide thorough analysis and reports to support this consideration and discussion Management highlights any potential problems with achievement of the aims and objectives in the plan Performance of the organisation is benchmarked/compared against similar organisations, where appropriate The Committee understands the principle of collective responsibility and applies this to its management and monitoring of performance
Evidence	Corporate Plan
to	Corporate Plan Progress Reports
support	Attendance at Strategic Planning Workshop Manitoring of progress against comise and statutomy torques.
good	Monitoring of progress against service and statutory targets Monitoring Papers
practice	Meeting PapersMeeting Minutes



4. Financial and Project Management

Red Flag	 Committee members are not familiar with financial reports and how they are prepared
	 Issues raised in the annual report issued by the External Auditor are not followed up
	 Committee members have not received adequate training and therefore are unable to make decisions in relation to DFP
	guidance and financial investment practices
	 Insufficient time is spent on discussing the annual budget and financial reports and on the financial health of the
	organisation in general
	Financial reports are not prepared in line with the FreM and reporting timetable
	The Committee is unaware of planned or ongoing projects in the organisation
Good	 Committee members are aware of the financial investment practices that are adopted by NILGOSC and how to evaluate
Practice	financial performance
	 Sufficient time is devoted to considering and understanding the annual budget and its implications
	 Financial reports are prepared in line with the FreM and reporting timetable
	• The annual report prepared by the External Auditor is thoroughly reviewed by the Committee, who monitors action taken
	to address any weaknesses highlighted
	 Committee members are trained in line with DFP guidance in respect of procurement practices
	 The Committee is aware of all significant projects planned or ongoing in the organisation and receives regular project
	updates
	 The Committee are fully briefed in relation to all significant losses and exceptional payments which may indicate
	weaknesses or failures upon which appropriate action may need to be taken
Evidence	Annual Report and Accounts
to	Administration Budget and quarterly variance reports
support	Receipts and Payments report
good	Trained accounting staff
practice	Procurement Policy/ DoF Procurement Training
	Meeting Papers and Minutes



5. Risk, Audit and Governance

<u>5. Ris</u>	k, Audit and Governance
Red Flag	No Risk Management Policy is in place
	Risks are not recorded on a risk register
	The Committee does not receive regular reports on the risk management and internal control system
	There is insufficient consideration or discussion of the risks faced by the organisation
	Internal Audit reports and opinions are accepted without any discussion
	Unsatisfactory audit opinion
	Governance Statement not completed
Good	A Risk Management Policy is in place
Practice	The risk appetite of the organisation has been clearly defined
	Risks and mitigating controls are recorded on a risk register which is reviewed on a regular basis
	The Committee is provided with reports on the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control system on a
	regular basis
	Any new risks are reported to the Committee in a timely manner
	The Committee reviews the risk register on at least an annual basis and considers any macro or strategic changes that
	may impact on this
	An independent internal audit function carries out regular reviews of the internal control system, using a risk based
	approach. Reports are provided to the Secretary and discussed with the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee
	The Internal Auditor provides an annual opinion on the effectiveness of the risk management, control and governance
	systems, which is considered and discussed by the Committee
	All accepted internal audit recommendations are implemented as soon as possible
	• The Audit and Risk Assurance Committee provides a report of its meetings to the Committee on a regular basis, which are
	given proper consideration
	All frauds are reported to the Committee and updated provided in relation to follow-up action
	A suite of policies and procedures are in place to ensure compliance with statutory legislation
Evidence	Risk Management Policy& Risk Register
to	SMT, Audit and Risk Assurance Committee and Committee Quarterly Risk Reports
support	Annual Risk Review Process
good	Internal Audit Strategic Plan and Annual Plans
practice	Internal Audit Reports
	Internal Audit Performance Monitoring Reports Internal Audit Approal Opinion
	Internal Audit Annual Opinion Internal Audit Browner Beneute
	Internal Audit Progress Reports Machine Bonese Audit and Biole Acquirence Committee and Management Committee
	Meeting Papers – Audit and Risk Assurance Committee and Management Committee Des Francisco
	DoF Fraud Reports Committee Usedheek
	Committee Handbook – Policies and Procedures Apti Fraud Bribary and Whistleblowing Policies and training
	Anti-Fraud, Bribery and Whistleblowing Policies and training



6. Pension Scheme Administration

Il have on resources
seminars and
Serimars and
d outlines the
d outlines the
s being taken
and seeks further
nistration of pensions
•



7. Investment of the Fund

	estment of the Fund
Red Flag	There is an evident lack of understanding of investments and the investment process
	Poor attendance at the asset allocation strategy meeting
	Investments are not managed in line with the Statement of Investment Principles
	The Committee is unfamiliar with the different mandates in place and how they are managed
	The investment monitoring reports provided to the Committee are poor and have insufficient detail
	Insufficient time is given to reviewing the performance of investments
	Fund Managers do not meet with the Committee on a regular basis and/or there is lack of challenge by Committee
	members
	Poor quality or untimely advice is provided to the Committee by the Investment Consultant
	There is a lack of understanding regarding valuation of the Scheme and its impact
Good	The Committee understands how financial markets operate and the different asset classes in which the Fund is invested
Practice	There is a clear understanding of the investment process, in respect of asset allocation and how the Fund is invested
	Committee members attend an asset allocation strategy meeting at least annually
	The Committee ensures investments are managed in line with the Statement of Investment Principles and Statement of
	Responsible Investment
	The Committee is aware of the different investment mandates in place, who they are managed by and the different
	performance targets
	• The Committee fully understands the Investment Monitoring process and receives regular reports on the performance of
	the Fund and the Fund Managers
	Sufficient time is spent reviewing and discussing the performance of investments
	The Committee meets with Fund Managers on at least an annual basis to discuss performance and any other related
	issues
	The Committee understands the role of the Investment Adviser and reviews their performance at least annually
	There is evidence that the Committee engages in responsible investment practices
	The Committee understands the role of the Actuary and the purpose of the Actuarial Valuation
Evidence	Statement of Investment Principles & Statement of Responsible Investment
to	Investment Monitoring Guidelines and Scorecard
support	Investment Management Agreements
good	Annual Review of Investment Adviser Performance/Quarterly attendance of Investment Adviser at meetings
practice	Actuarial Valuation Characteristic Code & Circoteristic Code &
	Statement of Adherence to the Stewardship Code & Signatory to UNPRI
	Engagement and Voting Reports Management Principle Nation
	Manager Briefing Notes Tandan and the dilinear form of the second
	Tender process for appointment of new Fund Managers and due diligence Maching Dangers and Minutes
	Meeting Papers and Minutes Attendance at Investment Conference and Cominers (Training (Applied Training Day)
	Attendance at Investment Conferences and Seminars/Training/Annual Training Day



8. Communication and Engagement with Stakeholders

Red Flag	Poor relationship with the sponsor department
	The Committee has a lack of visibility or profile outside of the organisation
	NILGOSC's interests are not on the political agenda
	There is no communication strategy
	Results from the customer satisfaction survey is poor
	Staff are unaware of how they contribute to the aims and objectives of the organisation
	There is no website or the information on the website is out of date
Good	There is regular and open communication with the sponsor department
Practice	Committee members attend events to raise the profile of the organisation
	The Committee engages with relevant politicians and groups to ensure NILGOSC's interests are represented
	The Committee understands how the organisation communicates with key stakeholders
	A customer satisfaction survey is conducted on a regular basis and the Committee monitors action taken to address any
	issues arising from this
	There is a website with up-to-date information about the organisation
	• The Committee ensures that staff understand the organisation's aims and objectives and how they contribute to achieving
	these
Evidence	Meetings with DfC and the Minister
to	DfC observer at meetings
support	Representation on various pension related groups
good	Communication Policy Statement
practice	Employer Seminars
_	Employer Circulars
	Member and Pensioner Publications
	Chairman/Chief Executive Seminars
	Customer Satisfaction Survey
	New website being developed
	Corporate Plan
	Staff Appraisal and Objective Setting
	Staff Survey
	Consultation responses

